Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/646,565	MAGNAGHI E	MAGNAGHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ian N. Moore	2616		
All Participants:	Status of Application	on:		
(1) <u>lan N. Moore</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Kurt M. Pankratz</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 25 January 2008	Time: 3:00 PM			
Type of Interview: ⊠ Telephionic □ Video Conference □ Personal (Copy given to: □ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: □ Yes ଔ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	pplicant's representative)			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed: claim 43				
Prior art documents discussed: none				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: as set forth above in examiner amendment				
Part III.	•			
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur	 The examiner will provide rate record of the substance 	a written summary of of the interview, since	the substance	
Ja Whore			*	
(Exeminer/SPE Signature) (App	licant/Applicant's Representa	ative Signature – if ap	propriate)	

Application No.

Applicant(s)